

CHARLOTTESVILLE REVISITED: Replacement Theory 2.0

I was *greatly alarmed* by the hypnotic repetition of Michelle Obama and Joe Biden 'describing' a comic-book version of Charlottesville as a central campaign tactic, it must be like hearing Hitler drone on about the [Reichstag fire](#) to his own hypnotized followers. So I recently took a personal journey of discovery about the Unite the Right rally, and would like to share my notes about it and some views I've developed over time, an email to a friend lightly edited.

It was obvious Spencer and Kessler did attract a few violent skull-crackers and Jew-haters and KKKooks to attend -- but you have to look at how many were actually holding flags on Saturday that were *not* confederate (in this context more anti-statue removal than anything else) or US flags, just a few. And no flags (or pointy hoods) at the torch procession.

What of the mass majority of people? Everyone, even DJT under peer pressure it seems, is painting them in signifianct part as KKK/Nazi with one broad stroke.

...

In the years since 2017 the Charlottesville "fine people" thing -- when politicians and news people and celebrities deliberately take Trump's remarks out of context by omitting his condemnation in the same press conference -- started out as an annoyance, the kind of thing you clarify to people and move on.

But during the 2020 DNC convention something truly creepy emerged. Michelle Obama's speech portrayed that event as if it was some old-time KKK terror event, overtly racial and threatening. Joe Biden repeated forcefully -- claimed as his very reason for running -- that event.

He brings the torches into it, with the phrase "veins bulging" for impact. So little does he vary the description it actually sounds silly after awhile. But he always uses it. In interviews he first says "Close your eyes!" and "Remember what you saw!" before invoking it.

Problem is, I didn't see what he saw and his technique rubs me the wrong way, I don't trust his motive. And it's clear Biden is trying to drive it into some sort of mass hypnotic suggestion. I don't think Joe sees what he describes either.

They're trying to inject a terror myth. Joe Biden is a flim-flam man.

What I did remember seeing is the Charlottesville July 2017 daytime visit by the KKK wearing white with pointy head things, not hoods with eyeholes. I do remember scanning to find their number and was relieved they were around ~50. That seems like a comfortable number of crazies for the US and the fact they asked for and got a permit to demonstrate seemed like something one (as an American) could actually be proud of, that these crazies could be accommodated.

The actual reason the Right drove cross country (not the statues I decided) was not really described anywhere. Only what they 'were' [KKK/Nazi pick one]. Because there was a sudden and horrible car attack by one person they just seem to shake everyone else off. This is odd, especially for journalists. Why the strange lack of curiosity?

Reviewing the various sources it is clear there were some evil people among the torch bearers ready to instigate violence but not even a dozen really. There were more than 500 people in that torch procession, even women! Sure some who were ready to fight but it became clear they knew there were also many eager to fight *them in town who had come there to do so*, 'hiding' in plain sight among the non-violent counter-protestors. And the car attack -- something not planned by anybody -- increased their danger. A day when everything went wrong.

I am not trying to make excuses for these people, but the tactics employed by Michelle and Biden are crass and transparent and political and *dangerous and frightening*. Charlottesville was supposed to be a demonstration and protest about a issue of great importance to these almost-normal people.

Michelle (DNC speech): *"They see our leaders labeling fellow citizens enemies of the state while **emboldening torch-bearing white supremacists**. They watch in horror as children are torn*

from their families and thrown into cages, and pepper spray and rubber bullets are used on peaceful protestors for a photo-op."

Joe (DNC nomination speech): *"Just a week ago yesterday was the third anniversary of the events in Charlottesville. Remember seeing those neo-Nazis and Klansmen and white supremacists coming out of the fields with lighted torches? Veins bulging? Spewing the same anti-Semitic bile heard across Europe in the '30s? Remember the violent clash that ensued between those spreading hate and those with the courage to stand against it? Remember what the president said? They were, quote, "very fine people on both sides." It was a wakeup call for us as a country.*

Joe (debate): *It is true, the reason I got in the race is when those people... Close your eyes, remember what those people look like coming out of the fields, carrying torches, their veins bulging, just spewing anti-Semitic bile and accompanied by the Ku Klux Klan. A young woman got killed and they asked the president what he thought. He said, 'There were very fine people on both sides.' No president's ever said anything like that. And for me, a call to action. At that moment, I knew I'd have to run. My father taught us that silence was complicity. And I could not remain silent or complicit.*

So Joe, the Unite The Right rally is to be the zeitgeist of our time? Our darkest moment?

Then let's study it. Everyone should. And not that comic-book crap. There were several hundreds in that torch procession and the following day and I want to know what motivated them. Not from what I or anyone else 'thinks' they are. What was said, what was done and perhaps, why?

A friend wrote when I engaged him on Charlottesville and 2A topics,

You disagree with almost everything written [here](#)? Correct? Was it true that James Fields rammed his car into a crowd of antiprotesters killing one woman and wounding 19 others? He was tried an

convicted, later pled to a number of hate crimes. Do you consider him a "hero"?

Yes that happened. It was one asshole with a car. Thankfully justice was served, it's great to have cameras everywhere.

There are always assholes, [here's another](#), and it's worth noting in the article her 'felt imperiled' defense, then a quote from Weil to read the scoreboard to note that most vehicles have hurt BLM people ... (context omitted?) fear could have motivated them too. I theorize she did not intended harm, she floored a by-wire accelerator expecting to just loudly burn rubber and was thwarted by a modern traction system. That she hit the brake after the first lurch seems to suggest this.

Consider the idea *If anyone gets hurt or killed, someone's definitely going to jai and we must find someone to hate*. Practical elimination any self-defense defense feels utopian and might be a good way to chip at 2A. Why carry a gun when your life is certain to be completely destroyed if you need to use it if you are attacked? And if it turns really bad ... if you are unarmed you and any family with you can just *go gentle into that good night*.

The Rittenhouse case is interesting in how it has been tried in the public court and the social media jurors seem to be polarized by political affiliation. It's hitting that "so much at stake nerve". There's also stupid shit out there like the [11 minutes](#) video which is overproduced and preachy, and their showing rap sheets for the men who were shot I find face-palm offensive. Kyle didn't see their rap sheets so why should we? Common sense is so un these days.

Rittenhouse's attorney Wood even demanded a [public retraction](#) from the Biden campaign for showing a photo of Kyle with militia/white supremacist context. But I find it ironic that the closest Joe has come to defaming Kyle was an [almost brain fart](#) during an Anderson Cooper interview. Cooper's question was shoddy and Joe said I don't know so he gets a pass. I'll transcribe it only because it has some "veins bulging" in it and this is the Veins Bulging Channel!

ANDERSON: The president has not talked about the shooting of **Mr. Blake**, he's talked about violence in the wake of protests of it, I'm wondering why you think that is that he hasn't addressed it, there's obviously about the circumstances around it, but the video obviously has been out there.

JOE: Look, I don't know enough to know whether that **17 year old kid**, exactly what he did. Allegedly he's part of a **militia** coming out of the state of Illinois. **Have you EVER heard this president say ONE negative thing about white supremacists? Have you EVER heard it?** That's the reason I got back in this race because what happened in Charlottesville. People coming out of the woods carrying torches, [spreads hands] **their veins bulging. Close your eyes and remember what you saw!** And a young woman gets killed resisting the hate and violence? And the president is asked to comment what does he say? He says there's very fine people on both sides. He wouldn't even condemn David Duke for God's sake. A former Grand Kliegel-ion [?Grand Wizard?] This is a guy who is using division, and hate, as the only way he stays in office. An idea he doesn't c-[real brain fart?]-s. Sorry.

INTERMISSION:

[DENOUNCE: THE MOVIE](#)

Note the rising tide of annoyance.
This is because Trump remembers.
Others do not.

Back to Charlottesville...

My left-leaning friend writes

Those outlets that support your theory that the mainstream press is simply miss-covering the event [Charlottesville] appear to not be known for their journalism or their impartiality.

I replied tongue in cheek, maybe being from the Caribbean, I'm just

smarter than the mainstream press! Remembering the NYT articles claiming the president told people to drink liquid bleach. That viral howler actually started on Twitter but NYT reported it as fact.

Maybe people from the Caribbean are just smarter... in ways that help us to see through bias and misconception. I have always found it sad that so many Afamericans want to trace their ancestry back directly across the Atlantic and not to the Caribbean where generations of their ancestors are more recently from. Slavery ended there first not from some crisis of conscience, they turned on their 'masters' in an escalating series of revolts that make inspiring and magnificent tales. Tales told in the Caribbean but not America it seems. But in this century we must endure dullards like this,

JOE BIDEN (debate): And by the way, maybe you could inject some bleach in your arm, and that would take care of it. This is the same man.

That was a great joke until he turned to the audience and "This is the same man" revealed he actually believes it. Joe and the NYT actually share the same IQ.

My friend ended with,

As far as I have seen, Donald Trump was the only political leader who placed the blame for the Unite the Right violence on both the demonstrators and counter demonstrators.

That is true! It intrigued me.

Trump [15-Aug-2017 press conference clip](#) with "fine people" and "How about Thomas Jefferson, you like him?" and "You're changing history" and "and I'm not talking about the neo-Nazis and the white nationalists -- because they should be condemned totally". He might have added that last part remembering the David Duke endorsement condemnation harassment. Little did he know Charlottesville would keep coming back like a horror clown. I think the clip shows a deft mind under pressure.

So set aside car asshole for a moment, we'll start by looking for some

"very fine people on both sides" that Trump *reasonably* assumed were there.

Everyone seems to agree there were Very Fine People wanting to remove the Lee statue for what ever reason.

But as to those who want to keep the Lee statue, there were probably some fine people there among the plaintiffs and friends of [Payne v Charlottesville](#) filed on 20-Mar-2017 and got an injunction against the City's removal. Maybe a respected academic or veteran in the bunch.

On to just-people, some not so fine,

13-May-2017 -- 3 months before Unite The Right -- [Richard Spencer arrives](#) with '100-150 people' and Tiki torches. Spencer is the closest thing in the US to a real Hitler wannabe. He likes the flags, the symbolism, speaks some German, spittles Nazi speeches, hates Jews, claims he coined 'alt-right'. And by the way, he later endorsed Joe Biden to replace Trump. That was seldom reported.

8-Jul-2017 -- 1 month before -- the [actual' KKK comes to town](#) to protest statue removal in full dress, some 50 strong, with Confederate flags. They were peaceful with guns and the 1,000 person welcoming committee with guns was peaceful after they left. Photos of this event with the Klan in costume are now used as shock-stock photography to illustrate America In Turmoil... and at times mis-captioned as being from the later Unite the Right rally.

After Charlottesville, Because Trump, there were [Things with Eyeholes](#) on arrogant magazine covers because, you know, Trump! We see that the KKK is here being 'boosted' to undeserved relevancy by people who are enamored of their own cleverness.

11-Aug-2017 -- first night of Unite the Right, Tiki torch procession, it looks to be around 500 people.

I decided it was the most valuable thing to study, even more so than violent street clashes, car asshole, or speeches given by leaders. During the procession they were mostly unopposed and what they did and said then is of interest. Kessler who organized Unite the Right had a permit to

rally on Saturday, but the intention to march with torches Friday evening seems to have been planned and shared but not publicly announced.

Some leaders have fetishes like Naziboy Spencer. Most are just Youtube influencers and tend to be wordy blowhards with visions of grandeur, not actual battle-scarred predators. Yes they convinced many to travel great distances to be there. But the question of influence is open.

Was everyone really there to oppose *Jews*? And what, if any, of this is about *Afamericans*?

I happen to be white. I am definitely a nationalist. When people put the two words together today they are also trying to insinuate I am *racist*. They are painting a target on my back. 'White supremacist' and 'White nationalist' -- the emerging synergy of the phrases -- seem just as threatening and insulting as the N-word. It's all about intent and the intent is ugly because as I am white, I will not earnestly be asked my opinion as to solutions.

'White nationalist' is something that is being done *to* me.

Michelle Torchy Obama and Bulging Vein Biden want you and your children to have nightmares about Charlottesville. Instead of people with torches chanting, protesting something... you're supposed to imagine crowds of white hoods, truncheons, hoarse voices shouting racial slurs, Jews screaming, crosses burning, Afamericans hoisted into trees. Ghosts of the past as tools to help them control the future.

Zeitgeist of the time?

Emily Gorcenski's livestreams ([part 1](#), [part 2](#)) give the best coverage of the procession from its leading edge to the Jefferson statue. There is a briefing of 'security', people assigned to walk beside the 2 by 2 line of torch bearers. They clearly did expect some sort of threatening response that night. Emily comments into the camera but keeps her distance. She follows the march as it begins, then sprints around the back of a building to arrive at the rotunda first. Just a few fellow students are making a stand around the statue in defiance. "Where the fuck are you?" she screams into her livestream to any nearby friends who may be watching. There is intense fear in her voice as the line approaches.

As the students and statue are surrounded they shout "Black lives matter!" and nearby torch people soon change their chant to "White lives matter!" A few hyenas leave the circle and start to taunt Emily and the others, one placing face and lips in front of her camera lens. [Christopher Cantwell](#) hits them with pepper spray, there is a brawl and the livestream ends. Another student was viciously drop-kicked by Marine [Vasillios Pistolis](#) who may have started the brawl. He was later court-martialed and expelled, Cantwell arrested.

At this moment it is important to note the actions of the overwhelming majority of torch-bearers. Each had spotted the students on approach but at this final moment they are not rushing in, just surrounding and standing still. They may be angry and horse but they're content to chant. I wondered, Why aren't they trying to restrain the hyenas that are rushing and taunting and lunging? What exactly are the modern rules of engagement? Did anything like this actually happen, someone trying to restrain the hyenas? And as the students left with their injured did the crowd part in anything resembling a civil manner to let them leave?

If you are hypnotized by the cartoon illustration pushed by Obama and Biden this is the part where they all rush in and pummel the students until they are half-dead or dead dead. Did not happen. The streams I have seen are confused and shaky at this point. There are brief scenes of the torch march from the air so someone had a drone and that would be the best angle, let me know if you come across it.

"Black lives matter!"
"White lives matter!"

Back and forth. This feels ridiculous even for the 21st century. "White lives matter" was only chanted when they were challenged by the other chant and "Black lives matter!" started as the torch people approached, fear was greatest. Is it their alpha chant, a talisman from harm? But is the gainsaying of B&W really the crux of conflicting ideologies? Or repetitive response like barking dogs?

In Part 2 Emily resumes the livestream and says they had been 'forcibly removed' from the statue, incredibly angry at the lack of police presence

and response. Police seem to be keeping some sort of perimeter away from the rotunda and do not respond to her. She is helping a wheelchair bound student leave the area.

The besieged UVa students later [vented](#) their frustration and demanded 'action' though vaguely. One oddity leapt out right away, here they consistently describe the torch chant as "Jews will not replace us". What's the deal here? Was "You will not replace us" not Natzy enough for the narrative? I have seen it in other places too.

One of the screamers caught on camera returned home to [big trouble](#) but he is a dork and some of his remarks seem written by a lawyer. The chants were few. At the march's beginning there was a brief repeat of "Blood and soil" which is authentically Nazi. But it petered out quickly and was replaced by

"One people! One nation! End immigration!"
"You will not replace us!" <-- throughout.

This is 'it' then.

In the years since have you ever heard Unite the Right described more simply as an "immigration protest" or "anti-immigration protest"? If not... you've been Michelled. You've been Bidened. To them Charlottesville was an evil gathering of those-people and those-other-people, Jew and colored haters against the good hate haters.

Aside from the hoodlums on both sides who arrived to fight and the dodgy leaders, the *overwhelming majority* of those who demonstrated in 2017 Unite the Right rally were there to protest what I call Replacement Theory 2.0.

Replacement Theory 1.0 was the fear that the palefaces would be tainted or their power diminished by the presence of free blacks and their descendants, to be dealt with by physically removing them if possible. This could have been a crypto-objective of the [American Colonization Society](#) with Liberia colony dangled as the carrot. Even before the Civil War slave owners would release troublemakers on the condition they immediately go there. As Liberia resettlement hopes faded the South

settled for a twisted system of suppression and exclusion with social firewalls against interracial marriage so the (potential) Afamerican voting bloc, and the loss of their sons' and daughters"so-called 'virtue' was not a threat.

Hitler studied the South and he was impressed by how the various 'drop of blood/race fraction' lingo had infused into the cultures, and how well the exclusion was working. Ironically (for us) he modeled his propaganda and purity campaigns for Jews on it. His message to the people was to restore the 'purity' of the Aryan race before, he warned, this unique 'race' would be lost. This short black haired dude's ruse was pure politics. Hitler's scam I call Replacement Theory 1.5.

These Charlottesville Unite the Right demonstrators are ascribed to RT 1.5 and denigrated to Hitler-thugs by people who do not bother to consult them. There are some true deplorables like Naziboy Spencer's group's fetish with Nazi memorabilia, and a token KKK presence gives Wikipedia all the ammunition they need to brand neo-Nazikkk on everyone.

This branding has happened in the US generally. Notice how Emily in her livestream had branded everyone Nazis already before the march? Everyone is quick to assume that their use of Tiki torches was deliberately designed to call back to the terrifying midnight haunts of the KKK era. Do I subscribe to this assumption straight-out? Um, not without more proof, like racist chants. It was striking and photogenic. Tone-deaf also, definitely. Maybe these people are not our best (in terms of intelligence and thinking around the corner) but there they were.

Back to *purpose*.

Replacement Theory 2.0 is of *this* era. It has nothing to do with race or religion or Hitler. It is the fear that Democrat leaders are pushing *suddenly and suspiciously hard* for physically open borders, migrant caravans, and lax ID voting requirements as a plan to gather a massive new and compliant voting bloc. You or I may not be quick to assume immigrants would be Democrats but what is important is *they* think so. They are *convinced* of it. It did not originate with the caravans of 2018 though they have greatly fed it. This fear took root during the Obama Administration.

Several things sparked it. The expansion of chain migration policy created a continuous virtual caravan. RT2.0 worriers were aware. They even view H1B and other visas as ominous. Whether or not they qualify for those jobs they perceive it as displacing Americans. 'Unskilled' visas *really* alarm them because they see little practical difference between long term visas and immigration. They hold on to the idea (even if misplaced) of 'merit' based policy without stooping to detail. Trump's campaign focus on *the wall* and visa merit was unusual for a modern presidential candidate. But would he have won without it?

"One people! One nation! End immigration!"

"You will not replace us!" == Replacement Theory 2.0

PERSONAL NEWSFLASH: Those they fear will be replaced as a voting bloc - - "one people, one nation" -- **is the United States and all its peoples as it exists today**, includes themselves and Afamericans and Amerindians, Jewmericans and Japamericans, Jamaicamericans and Kitchen Sink Americans. They just want to close the gates.

That they were principally 'white' was a consequence of the Republican demographic.

This perfectly compliments the views of French writer Renaud Camus whose [interview just after Unite the Right](#) was very interesting and timely, a must-read, with his views on Trump and mass migration. Also the movement of [Geert Wilders](#) against specifically 'Islamization' of the Netherlands takes a very dark Orwellian turn.

Democrats have long assumed Afamericans and Hispamericans to be a reliable bloc and they have been pretty reliable. For Republicans this is a face-palm thing as in, why don't they just wake up, not an evil desire to strip their rights or remove them from Earth. Trump seized on these things and (for example) has taken ownership of a [rising indicator](#) and what ever his motivation, isn't it good news? His emphasis on 'school choice' is playing well with low income families everywhere even if all they have in mind is a certain icky school to avoid. Perhaps Democrats do not openly fear the loss of Afamerican support, but would that prevent them from launching an insurance policy?

Afamerica should be just as wary of RT2.0. I'll bet Democrats are terrified of the documentary 'Uncle Tom'.

Aside from immigration or the undocumented -- RT2.0 view all attempts to relax voting ID requirements as deliberately inviting fraud which includes dead people and live ones who simply vote often in places where they are not challenged. If you consider yourself above such tactics and feel the opposite side is drawn to them, it would naturally follow.

Replacement Theory 2.0 is *credible* as conspiracy theories go. It was credible enough to march on in 2017.

A clue Democrats may be worried about Hispanic support -- or maybe just a revealing moment of pure vileness -- is in this [video clip](#) someone made. Part 1 is taken from a video played during the recent DNC Convention. A young girl tells Trump he is responsible for death, along with some *very out of context* Trump clips. It ends with "United States Marine." Part 2 is the actual sources the DNC clips were made from. He is talking specifically about MS-13 gang members. I wonder who made that DNC clip and if they will get a job in the Ministry of Truth if Biden wins.

So what of Charlottesville violence? Was it racial? Was it Natzy?

INTERMISSION:

[PBS Frontline: Exposing Hate \(2018\)](#)

"Fear, Fire, Foes, awake! The violent white militias are upon us!"

In this Frontline ProPublica reporter A.C. Thompson's stellar achievement is seeing the attackers at the Jefferson statue brought to justice -- especially Rundo and Pistolis -- with some stunning detective work. It exposed the ones that brag about 'cracking skulls' on chats. His interviews with Emily Gorcenski and others are superb.

But with its myopic focus on violence to expose the worst of the worst it also sets the tone that the 'right', which rhymes with 'white', is owed *nothing* in terms of balanced coverage. Nazi iconography is shown like the spoor and droppings of evil animals. It reveals a few skull-crackers

but what of the hundreds of 'invaders' who drove hundreds of miles to defend racist statues (right?) and be Nazikkk all over everybody. And if they were not bad before, they are all bad now because bad things happened.

I found it a little creepy how Thompson *just happens to be* the reporter in the room on August 11 who alerts Chief Thomas to rumors of the torch event and how the camera was focused on him. Then yeah sure, he was just sitting on a park bench hearing nothing when the torches suddenly appeared. Is he deaf? Gimmie a break. Well done hit piece though.

This [incredibly detailed PDF of an 'Independent Review'](#) of events indicated there had been many warnings of a march on campus on the evening of the 11th, but despite Spencer's prior use of them, Kessler seems to have successfully kept the use of torches a secret. There is a lot of Police testimony to which you should apply a cover-your-ass filter. It does seem for the whole event campus and Cville PD were perfect watchdogs, they just watched the whole thing.

When rats fight in a cage is the fault with the rats or the scientist?

That was going through my head as I read through the PDF. The Right had clearly arrived expecting resistance, and the events of months prior gave other Left groups ample time to assemble and gather with the local residents. Articles in the [New York times](#) and [Los Angeles Times](#) (good witness accounts) give only broad sketches.

In the PDF there are few mentions of explicitly racial skirmishes or targeting, one Afamerican guy was followed into a garage and beaten, apparently after he and a friend tried to grab a flag from a passing group. It is amazing how many guns were there yet no one shot in anger even during fights. There was a warning shot fired by the Right, but to be *really really* fair it hit the ground near the feet of a guy who was using an improvised flamethrower.

There is also creepy stuff. During the night of the torches there was what is called a 'church service' in progress blocks away that was not very churchy, but some sort of preparation for the next day's nonviolent counter-protest. Many were there. *Who* called police anonymously

claiming there were 4 'alt-right' in the church, and subsequent calls of someone with an AR-15 who was about to shoot people. All unfounded. It was puzzling because who had the motivation to do such a thing?

Countless descriptions of engagements in the PDF, even mention of outside groups, and it does seem the Right were more often the instigators. But streets along their path were often blocked completely by counter-protestors who felt that linking arms and blocking any street, even access to the permitted park and the street leading to it, was an inherently non-violent thing to do. In one story *often* meant the Right broke through as more human chains were formed down the same street at least *six times*. Of course they should have said "Gee shucks this town doesn't like us!" and left but they are also imagining (both yes and no) that opposition from elsewhere had come to impede them.

What is the reasonable expectation of demonstrators who are granted a permit? That the city PD would ensure their path was clear, entry and exit to the park and their vehicles afterward would be unobstructed? Police were everywhere but there was some bizarre "stand down" order, when masses of people clashed cops were elsewhere, or just standing there -- even as fights broke out. The police's inaction puzzled both sides.

So the whole thing was a damned rat experiment, the City and police were the scientists. After car asshole, word went around and counter-protests became uglier. One story has a Right group pursued to a city parking lot where their cars were, with intent to leave, then as the first one attempts to exit the lot the car is surrounded and vandalized but he gets away. The rest imagine being trapped and make the strategic decision to retreat on foot and draw the pursuers away from their cars.

The Unite the Right people came equipped expecting trouble. We have seen in protests this Summer the Antifa are arriving with the same devices: shields, improvised batons, pepper spray. Lessons learned in Charlottesville?

Politifact will not tell you but the U-Haul driver recently doxxed after [unloading shields and signs](#) in Louisville is the same Holly Zoller who was injured by car asshole at Unite the Right. She had traveled to

Charlottesville with others to counter-protest. My only point is she has real skin in the game, and I hope this Summer is not the beginning of some escalating blood feud.

During the debate Trump's weary recitation to tell Proud Boys (Biden's suggestion) to 'stand down' (Wallace's suggestion) morphed into 'stand by'. Perhaps Trump thinks they mean the same thing. If Obama had said it people would think that (my opinion). If Hillary had said it in Latin people would swoon and faint (my opinion). But the dog whistle crowd went wild and the unscripted exchange became a Proctor & Gamble moment (showing my age I guess, remember the satanic symbols and spooked Christians?).

I get it. Proud Boys are the new KKK boogymen, [coming soon to a polling place near you](#). Strange they choose that group because Proud Boys actually seems racially inclusive, Afamericans just haven't joined yet (okay, at least [one](#)). Like Afamericans haven't yet arrived and spread out in middle/rural America in numbers proportionate to total population despite the old race crap being *over*. Afamerican Republicans are a rare breed for some strange reason. Everyone has their favorite reason.

Suggest that Proud Boys may be principally 'white' for political and geographical-demographic and not racist reasons, and the claws come out. Say 'All lives matter!' and the claws come out. Maybe more people pondering Replacement Theory 2.0 will start to change that.

Just a few voices out there like Candice Owens.

Unbelievable. Every person in America knows these riots are being orchestrated by black lives matter and Antifa. Chris Wallace asks the President to condemn white supremacists but did not think to ask Joe Biden to condemn Antifa or BLM. #Debate2020

#PresidentialDebate2020

— Candace Owens (@RealCandaceO) September 30, 2020

We have FBI director Wray claiming 'white supremacy' as the top threat on American soil after a Summer of burning buildings and violent crowds shouting 'Black lives matter!'. Expect more Proctor & Gamble moments. They are busy Photoshopping white hoods with eyeholes into riot photos

this very moment. If Wray also attributes the unrest to 'climate change' at least we'll know where the money in his pocket came from.

When you hear anyone disparagingly use the term *dog whistle* ... THAT is a 'dog whistle' ... and they are using it on you.

Think freely and often and thanks kindly for listening.